



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/647,406 08/25/2003 Steven J. Kuehl US20020140 2629 EXAMINER 173 7590 03/16/2005 WHIRLPOOL PATENTS COMPANY - MD 0750 TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E 500 RENAISSANCE DRIVE - SUITE 102 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER ST. JOSEPH, MI 49085 3744

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/647,406	KUĘHL ET AL.	£ 1 € 1 € 1 € 1 € 1 € 1 € 1 € 1 € 1 € 1
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		William E. Tapolcai	3744	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Anys	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statically received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fruite, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this con NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status	,			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,		merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5) <u></u> 6)⊠				
Applicati	on Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachmen	, ,	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	any (PTO 412)	
2) Notice 3) Inform	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mai		-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/647,406 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10, 11, and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saperstein et al in view of Redfern et al. Saperstein et al discloses the claimed invention, including a docking station 68 or 69 remotely located from the primary heat exchanger 62 through a secondary liquid circuit 66-70. However, Saperstein et al does not disclose the recited docking station for receiving a container for objects to be cooled. Redfern et al teaches a refrigeration system including a remotely located container 10 for objects to be cooled. It would be obvious to provide Saperstein et al with a container as part of the docking station 68 or 69, in view of Redfern et al, for the purpose of providing additional cooling for objects to be cooled such as food items.
- 3. Claims 6, 7, 12-18, and 22-35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on October 22, 2004.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed February 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states that he cannot find any teaching or suggestion in either Saperstein et al or Redfern et al of a dual circuit refrigeration system using a refrigerant to cooling liquid heat exchanger to transfer heat between the refrigerant and

Art Unit: 3744

the cooling liquid. In Saperstein et al the refrigerant in primary circuit 38, 44, 62 exchanges heat between the refrigerant and the cooling liquid in secondary circuit 66-70. Additionally, in Redfern et al, the refrigerant in the primary circuit which includes evaporator 50 exchanges heat with the liquid 48 in the cooler. This liquid may be considered the secondary circuit.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (703) 308-2640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,406 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William El Tapolcai Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

wet March 14, 2005